

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/634,209 | 08/05/2003 | Daniel Hunter Stone | 1739/29(b) 6813 | |
| 23381 7 | 590 05/11/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| DORR CARSON SLOAN & BIRNEY, PC | | | YEE, DEBORAH | |
| 3010 EAST 6T DENVER, CC | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DENVER, CC | 00200 | | 1742 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 05/11/200 | 1 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 9 | |
|-------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| ress | |
| | |
| munication. | |
| | |
| nerits is | |

Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | nt(s) |
|-----------------|--------------|--------|
| 10/634,209 | STONE ET AL. | ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Deborah Yee | 1742 | |

| | Debolati 1 | 50 | 1742 | |
|--|--|--|---|--------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply | ears on the o | over sheet | with the correspondence | address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the provision of the pr | 6(a). In no event within the statuto Il apply and will cause the applic | t, however, may bry minimum of t expire SIX (6) M ation to become | a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered tin IONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | action is not ce except fo | or formal ma | | he merits is |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) □ Claim(s) 24 to 31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 24 to 31 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | n from cons | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acceptance acception acceptance accepta | pted or b) rawing(s) be on is required | held in abey I if the drawi | vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 | ` ' |
| Priority under 35 Ú.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been have been ty documen (PCT Rule | received. received in ts have bee 17.2(a)). | Application No en received in this Nationa | al Stage |
| | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Paper N | v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (P | TO-152) |

| Att | ach | m | en | t(| s) |
|-----|-----|---|----|----|----|
| | | | | | |

| 1) | XI | Notice of | References | Cited | (PTO-892) |
|----|----|-----------|------------|-------|-----------|
|----|----|-----------|------------|-------|-----------|

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/634,209

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 24 to 31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,387,191. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both disclose a railway wheel made of a steel having a pearlitic structure; and the steel composition of pending claims have alloying constituents whose wt% ranges are within or encompass those recited by US Patent '191 claims.

Claims 24 to 31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,632,297. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both disclose a railway wheel made of a steel having a pearlitic structure, and the steel composition of pending claims having alloying

Art Unit: 1742

constituents whose wt% ranges are within or encompass those recited by US Patent '297 claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24 to 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heller et al (US Patent No. 4,230,488) submitted by applicant in IDS dated August 5, 2003.

Heller in claims 1,2 and 6 of columns 9 and 10 disclose a steel wheel alloy composition having alloying constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited by the claims 24 to 31. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portions of the ranges disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges with similar properties (increase service life) have been held to establish a prima facie case of obviousness, see MPEP 2144.05. Moreover, claim 6 of column 10 of Heller discloses a very fine pearlitic structure.

Although prior art discloses the additional elements, lead and bismuth, such would not appear to affect the basic and novel characteristics of the present invention and therefore would not be excluded from the claims reciting "consisting essentially of".

Application/Control Number: 10/634,209

Art Unit: 1742

Moreover, the omission of lead and bismuth with consequent loss of its function would not be a patentable distinction, see In re Wilson et al, 153 USPQ740.

Claims 24 to 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in last paragraph on page 6 of applicant's specification (Japanese patent application 57-143465).

The prior art discloses a railway wheel steel with a fine pearlitic structure and having a composition with alloying constituents whose wt% ranges overlap or closely approximate those recited by the claims 24 to 31. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portions of the ranges disclosed by the reference because close approximation and/or overlapping ranges with similar properties (high hardness and strength) have been held to establish a prima facie case of obviousness, see MPEP 2144.05.

In regard to claim 31, JP'465 discloses 1.2% Si which is slightly lower than the recited Si range of 1.3 to 2.5. Since criticality of the Si range has not been demonstrated (e.g. by comparative test data), then a composition with 1.3% Si vs. a composition with slightly less(say 1.2%) Si, would depict a mere difference in the proportion of element without any attendant unexpected results. Hence claim would not patentably distinguish over prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 2:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,209

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dy

PRIMARY EXAMINER